VACUUM CIRCUIT BREAKER AND TRANSFORMER INTERACTION IN A CABLE SYSTEM

Lars Liljestrand  
ABB – Sweden  
lars.liljestrand@se.abb.com

Elisabeth Lindell  
ABB – Sweden  
elisabeth.lindell@se.abb.com

Dierk Bormann  
ABB – Sweden  
dierk.bormann@se.abb.com

Carlos Roy  
ABB – Spain  
carlos.roy@es.abb.com

Edgar Dullni  
ABB – Germany  
edgar.dullni@de.abb.com

ABSTRACT.
Vacuum circuit breakers can cause repetitive transient overvoltages with steep fronts in some cases, depending on the combination of the system configurations and type of circuit breaker operations. Laboratory testing has been performed to improve the understanding of the high frequency system interaction between vacuum circuit breaker, transformer, and cables. A dry type medium voltage transformer has been energized and disconnected in a 20 kV medium voltage laboratory cable system using a vacuum circuit breaker. The transformer is a standard transformer, equipped with additional voltage measuring taps along the disks of one of the high-voltage windings, enabling the measurement of the voltages in the winding when exposed to transient overvoltages. The results show that normal no-load energizing and disconnection of the transformer do not cause any high overvoltages. Disconnection of the transformer with an inductive load or a trip on inrush current during energizing can cause transient overvoltages. Surge arresters, both phases to ground and phase to phase, are recommended for a transformer exposed to these types of circuit breaker operations.

TESTS ON A DRY TYPE TRANSFORMER.
A dry type cast coil transformer was used for the cable system testing (Figure 1). The transformer was manufactured with extra measuring taps along one of the Δ-connected high-voltage windings to enable recording of the internal voltage distribution along the disks of the winding. The taps were positioned at every disk at both ends of the winding, and at every second disk in the middle of the winding. The voltages at the terminals of the high-voltage winding as well as the voltages between the measuring taps and ground have been recorded. The transformer was also exposed to the lightning impulse test for comparison and reference.

Cable system laboratory testing.
Extensive testing has been performed in a laboratory cable system at ABB Corporate Research high power laboratory (Figure 2) when energizing and disconnecting the transformer. The aim was to find out if a transformer installed in a cable system and operated by a vacuum circuit breaker is exposed to higher or other types of stresses not covered by the standard lightning test. The worst cases with respect to both the system configuration and to the type of circuit breaker operation were identified and selected for the testing. The highest stress is expected when combining the worst system configuration with the worst circuit breaker operation.

Cable system.
The cable system test circuit was designed to enable testing with the circuit breaker either close (4 m) to the transformer or with a 72 m long cable between the transformer and the circuit breaker (Figure 2). A ring main unit (RMU) with a vacuum circuit breaker was used for the testing. One example of a worst system configuration is with a long cable upstream the circuit breaker and a short cable between the circuit breaker and the transformer, which is fulfilled when installing the RMU 4 m from the transformer.
Energizing during no-load.

The normal transformer circuit breaker operations are energizing and disconnection during no-load conditions corresponding to cases 1 and 2. A worst case for the circuit breaker operation is disconnection of an inductive load, corresponding to cases 3 and 4.

RESULTS.

Energizing during no-load.

Energizing the transformer causes always at least one prestrike per phase. The transformer terminal voltages (Figure 3) show a few step voltages with 1.5 times the amplitude of the nominal phase to ground voltage. The distortions seen in the 50 Hz voltages during energizing of the test transformer is a consequence of the low rated power of the feeding transformer. The transformer inrush current is several times higher than the nominal current, and the result is that the inrush current of the test transformer exceeds the nominal current for the feeding transformer.

![Figure 3. Transformer terminal voltages.](image)

Disconnecting the transformer with inductive load.

The following circuit breaker operations were selected and performed in order to cover both the normal transformer circuit breaker operations and the worst cases:

1. Energizing the transformer during no-load.
2. Disconnecting the transformer during no-load.
3. Interruption of the transformer inrush currents.
4. Disconnecting the transformer with inductive load.

Vacuum circuit breaker operations.

The rated power of the transformer used for the tests is 0.9 MVA. The transformer no-load current is significantly lower than the current chopping level of the vacuum circuit breaker. Therefore, the currents in the three phases are immediately interrupted (chopped) when the circuit breaker contacts start to open. The highest chopped current occurs when the current is close to the peak value at the instant when the contacts separate, see an example in Figure 5. No severe overvoltage is built up due to the combination of the low chopped current and the low voltage withstand of the circuit breaker. Therefore, the currents in the three phases are immediately after contact separation. In this case, the chopping current is below 100 mA and only two re-ignitions occur.

Another important reason for the low transient voltages is that the voltages at the transformer terminals are very well damped and without oscillations when disconnecting the no load transformer.

![Figure 4. Left: Terminal voltages and voltage at the midpoint (200 μs/div). Right: Voltages at the terminals and the midpoint and at the 4 end disks (0.2 μs/div).](image)

![Figure 5. Left: Circuit breaker currents. Right: Transformer terminal voltages.](image)

Interruption of transformer inrush current.

Disconnecting the transformer before the steady-state no-load current is reached can imply interruption of much larger currents. This is the case when the inrush current causes the circuit breaker to trip on over-current. The current that is chopped is now larger and determined by the vacuum circuit breaker and not by the transformer no-load current. The current chopping overvoltage causes the vacuum circuit breaker to re-ignite (Figure 6). The first re-ignition is followed by a large number of interruptions and re-ignitions causing higher and higher peak voltages.
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Figure 8. Transformer terminal voltages.
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Figure 9. Voltages across the high-voltage windings.

Rate of rise \(dU/dt\)
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Figure 6. Transformer terminal voltages.

Figure 7. Inductive load and surge arresters at the

transformer and 72 m cable between the transformer

and the vacuum circuit breaker.
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Figure 10. Voltage across one of the high-voltage wind-

ings. Right: Time expansion (0.1 μs/div).

The expected rise time of the voltage steps at the transformer

terminals caused by pre-strikes or re-ignitions of the circuit-

breaker is usually estimated by the surge impedance of the
cables (~ 25 Ω) and the transformer surge capacitance

~ 0.2 nF) terminating the cable. Assuming an ideal step

voltage the rise time of the voltage at the transformer would

result in 11 ns (\(t_{90\%} = 2.2 RC\)), which is much shorter than

measured.

The difference can be explained by taking into account the

stray inductances in the switchgear connecting the cables on

both sides of the circuit breaker (Figure 11). Modeling this

phenomenon with inductances connected in series to the cir-

cui breaker reduces the rise time of the step voltage consid-

rably. This has a similar effect as a shunt capacitor at the trans-
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ANALYSIS.

The worst case with respect to transient overvoltages occurs

when interrupting a current of several amperes with an induc-

tive load. This case has been analyzed and compared with the

standard lightning impulse test.

The following properties characterize the transient over-

voltages:

1. Amplitude, \(U\)

2. Rate of rise, \(dU/dt\)

3. Repetition frequency, \(f = 1/\Delta t\)

Amplitude \(U\).

Both the voltages from the transformer terminals to ground

and the voltages across the windings have to be taken into

account. The terminal voltages stress the insulation to ground,

whereas the voltage across the windings stress the inter turn

insulation. The terminal voltages were limited to 30 kV by the

surge arresters, in order to protect the high-voltage probes. A
downstream the circuit breaker is then given by the resistance
calculated from the surge impedance of the cable \( R = 2 Z_{\text{surge}} \)
and the stray inductance \( L \). For instance, \( R = 50 \Omega \) and
\( L = 2 \mu \text{H} \) lead to \( t_{\text{rise}} = 2.2 L/R \approx 88 \text{ ns} \), which is similar to
the recorded rise times.

\[ R = 2 Z_{\text{surge}} = 50 \Omega, \quad L = 2 \mu \text{H} \]

**Figure 11. Single phase electrical model of cables, circuit breaker and transformer.**

The conclusion is that the rise times of the step voltages
caused by the pre-strikes or re-ignitions of the vacuum circuit
breaker are limited by the stray inductances of the switchgear.
This was verified by closing the circuit breaker with and
without the transformer and measuring the phase to ground
voltages at the end of the cable. The same rise time was ob-
tained in both cases.

**Repetition frequency** \( f = 1/\Delta t \).

One major difference between the lightning impulse test and
the system transient overvoltages is the multiplicity of the step
voltages. The concern is whether repetitive step voltages
could cause higher stress to the transformer winding than a
single high-voltage impulse. The voltages at the transformer
terminals as well as at the midpoint of each high-voltage
winding were recorded when disconnecting the transformer
with inductive load.

The voltages at the terminals (Figure 8) were limited to 30 kV
by the surge arresters, but the voltages to ground at the wind-
ing midpoints reached 50 kV, see Figure 12.

**Figure 12. Transformer winding midpoint voltages.**

The voltages at the terminals and at the midpoint of one wind-
ing are shown expanded in Figure 13. Each re-ignition causes
a step voltage at the transformer terminal exciting the internal
resonances of the transformer winding. The repetition rate of
the re-ignitions varies, starting with a high rate above the
resonance frequency of the transformer winding and ending
with a rate below the winding resonance frequency for the last
re-ignitions. The winding midpoint voltage oscillates with the
resonance frequency of the winding and the amplitude varies.
The amplitude increases when the transformer resonance
frequency is close to a multiple of the repetition rate of the re-
ignitions and decreases in between.

**Figure 13. Time expansion of terminal voltages phases "L1" and "L2" and winding midpoint (100 µs/div).**

Strong amplification of the resonance occurs only if the source frequency (or a multiple of it) is equal to the resonance
frequency and if it is applied for many periods. This is not
fulfilled in this case since the re-ignition rate quickly varies
with time and only very few re-ignitions have suitable repeti-
tion rates.

**CONCLUSIONS.**

**Circuit breaker operations.**

Normal energizing and disconnection of the transformer dur-
ing no-load conditions did not cause high overvoltages. Inter-
rupting the transformer inrush current or disconnecting the
transformer with inductive load can cause multiple re-
ignitions and high transient overvoltages.

**Transformer properties.**

The tested transformer shows the same voltage response to
transient overvoltages produced by the vacuum circuit break-
er as to the lightning impulse test. The voltage distribution
along the high-voltage winding follows the expected capaci-
tive voltage distribution in both cases and the steep fronts of
the pre-strikes or re-ignitions did not excite any higher wind-
ing resonances than found in the lightning impulse test.

**Recommendations.**

Surge arresters are recommended for protection of the high-
voltage winding if there is a risk that the transformer is
 disconnected shortly after energizing, thus interrupting the
inrush current, or if it is disconnected during load condi-
tions. The surge arresters should be connected both phase-
to-ground and phase-to-phase, left diagram in Figure 14.
An alternative is the Neptune configuration utilizing 4
arresters, right diagram in Figure 14.

**Figure 14. Recommended surge arrester alternatives.**